

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,218 | 10/13/2004 | Petrus Henricus Cornelius Bentvelsen | NL 020305 | 4578 |
| 24737 7559 12/15/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SHIFERAW, ELENI A | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2436 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/15/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/511,218 | BENTVELSEN ET AL. | | | | |
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | ELENI A. SHIFERAW | 2436 | | | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ | | | | |
| (1) ELENI A. SHIFERAW. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) Michael E. Belk. | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 December 2010</u> | Time: | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | | | | | |
| The examiner called the firm to request authorization to amend th Examiner to make the changes to delete portions of the disclosure | | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate reduced | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview | | | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | by the examiner appears in F | Part II above. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /Eleni A Shiferaw/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2436 | | | | | | |
| | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) | | | | |